EDS Offeror-Specific Questions and Answers

Sequential Number	Req. for Cost Proposals Section or Pricing Table Number	"General" or "Offeror-Specific"	Offeror's Question and State Response
C-4	Cover Letter dated August 18, 2008, top of page 2	Offeror-Specific	EDS proposed Retro-DUR as an optional early implementation solution in our proposal. DUR+ is not a component of our Retro-DUR solution. The State requested that Retro-DUR become a mandatory service, and EDS has pulled it from Offeror-Specific and treated it as such. Please note that DUR+ is a component of EDS' solution required to implement the requirements for pharmacy prior approval in the base RFP. Please remove the reference to DUR+ from the Offeror-Specific listing in the August 18 letter. Response: The EDS Technical Proposal indicates that the DUR+ capability will require customization during DDI and that effort will be required during the Operations Phase to take advantage of the solution. The State currently has another vendor under contract to perform services similar to those proposed by EDS. The other contract could extend beyond the Offeror's Targeted Operational Start Date. Without knowledge of the Offeror's proposed price for this solution, the State cannot determine which approach offers the best value, should the State select EDS for the Replacement MMIS. The State requests that the Offeror price both development and operations of the DUR+ solution as an option and identify a not later than (NLT) date by which the State must exercise the option in order to include the customized solution into the Replacement MMIS without impacting the Targeted Operational Start Date.